

# UC Irvine

## UC Irvine Previously Published Works

### Title

Direct nitrogen and phosphorus limitation of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi: a model and field test.

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0bj9693x>

### Journal

The New phytologist, 155(3)

### ISSN

0028-646X

### Authors

Treseder, KK  
Allen, MF

### Publication Date

2002-09-01

### DOI

10.1046/j.1469-8137.2002.00470.x

### Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

# Direct nitrogen and phosphorus limitation of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi: a model and field test

Kathleen K. Treseder<sup>1</sup> and Michael F. Allen<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA; <sup>2</sup>Center for Conservation Biology, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521, USA

## Summary

Author for correspondence:  
Kathleen K. Treseder  
Tel: +1 215 898 4974  
Fax: +1 215 898 8780  
Email: treseder@sas.upenn.edu

Received: 24 January 2002  
Accepted: 1 May 2002

- Since mycorrhizal fungi constitute an important component of the soil–plant interface, their responses to changes in nutrient availability may mediate shifts in ecosystem function. We tested the hypothesis that initial soil nutrient availability may determine effects of nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) additions on the growth and community of arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi.
- Extraradical hyphal lengths and degree of root colonization of AM fungi were measured in control and fertilized plots along a soil fertility gradient in Hawaii. Responses of individual AM genera were assessed through immunofluorescent labeling.
- The AM biomass was increased by N and P additions in the N- and P-limited sites, respectively, and reduced by P fertilization in the fertile site only. The abundance of *Scutellospora* was lower under N than under P fertilization, whereas the incidence of *Glomus* was higher in the fertile site than the N-limited site. *Gigaspora* and *Acaulospora* did not vary among sites or treatments.
- Our results indicate that a decrease in AM abundance following nutrient additions cannot be assumed to occur and the effects may differ among AM genera and ecosystems with varying soil nutrients. Limitation of N and P may be one possible explanation.

**Key words:** arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, community composition, extraradical hyphae, fertilization, Hawaii, immunofluorescent labeling, nitrogen, phosphorus


© New Phytologist (2002) 155: 507–515

## Introduction

Investigators of mycorrhizal fungi have long regarded plant nutrient status as a principle control over mycorrhizal abundance. Namely, it is hypothesized that since plants use mycorrhizal fungi to acquire nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P), more carbon (C) should be allocated to mycorrhizal symbionts when plant growth is limited by soil nutrients than when it is not (Read, 1991; Smith & Read, 1997). However, N additions in field systems produce inconsistent effects on mycelial biomass of ectomycorrhizal and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi; abundance is just as likely to increase, decline or remain constant (Tingey *et al.*, 1995; Karen & Nylund, 1997; Klironomos *et al.*, 1997; Lussenhop *et al.*, 1998; Eom *et al.*, 1999; Treseder & Allen, 2000). Given these discrepancies, we should consider that C supplies from plants

are not the only nutritional requirement for fungi; N and P are also essential. Just as for plants, fungal growth may be limited when soil nutrient availability falls below a certain threshold. Under these circumstances, N or P additions may increase mycorrhizal growth. Because mycorrhizal fungi are more efficient scavengers for nutrients from the soil than are plant roots (Allen, 1991), the threshold for nutrient limitation may be lower for mycorrhizal fungi than for plants.

Given these interacting controls, mycorrhizal growth should be low under very low N- or P-availability and greatest where plant growth is still limited by N or P but fungal growth is not. Where plants are not nutrient-limited, fungal growth should become C-limited and decline owing to a drop in allocation of C by plants to the fungi (Fig. 1). Fertilization by N or P should increase mycorrhizal growth where mycorrhizal fungi are initially nutrient-limited, decrease mycorrhizal



**Fig. 1** The interaction of plant and fungal nutrient limitation on the biomass of mycorrhizal fungi. At high nutrient levels, fungi will receive little carbon from plants and will be C-limited. At lower nutrient levels, plants will be N- or phosphorus P-limited and will allocate C to mycorrhizal fungi. At the same time, if N or P concentrations are sufficient for fungal growth, mycorrhizal fungi will proliferate. At the lowest nutrient levels, both fungi and plants should be nutrient limited, and fungal biomass will be low regardless of C allocation to the fungi by plants.

growth where plants are nutrient-limited but fungi are not, and have no effect on fungi where neither organism is nutrient-limited.

Species and genera of mycorrhizal fungi appear to vary in responses to nutrient additions in field studies. Nitrogen deposition elicited a shift in communities of arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi toward *Glomus aggregatum*, *Glomus leptotichum*, and *Glomus geosporum* and away from *Scutellospora* and *Gigaspora* species in southern California coastal sage scrub (Egerton-Warburton & Allen, 2000). *Gigaspora gigantea* and *Glomus mossae* proliferated under N fertilization in a tallgrass prairie (Eom *et al.*, 1999). Nitrogen and P additions in the Cedar Creek Natural History Area in Minnesota decreased in *G. gigantea*, *Gigaspora margarita*, *Scutellospora calospora*, and *Glomus occultum*, and increases in *Glomus intraradices* (Johnson, 1993). In ectomycorrhizal fungi, changes in distributions among morphotypes or genotypes following N fertilization have been observed in plantations and forests (Taylor & Alexander, 1989; Arnebrant & Soderstrom, 1992; Karen & Nylund, 1997). This variation of response to fertilization or deposition may be partly related to different requirements of the fungi for C, N or P.

Mycorrhizal species can range from parasitic to mutualistic (Johnson *et al.*, 1997). Plants may exert some degree of control over fungal community composition to select more beneficial symbionts. Numerous pot studies have demonstrated variations in growth rate of plants inoculated with different arbuscular mycorrhizal species (Mosse, 1972; Bever *et al.*, 2001). In addition, in the Cedar Creek experiment, Johnson (1993) found that greenhouse plants inoculated with AM fungi from fertilized areas grew more slowly than plants inoculated with fungi from control areas. Both soil nutrient availability and plant controls may directly influence the

composition (as well as the abundance) of the mycorrhizal community.

We tested the hypothesis that the initial nutrient status of an ecosystem determines the effects of N and P additions on the abundance and community composition of AM fungi. Mycorrhizal dynamics were assessed in control, N and P fertilized plots along a soil fertility gradient in Hawaii. This gradient included sites in which aboveground net primary productivity was limited by N, P or neither nutrient independently. The AM colonization and glomalin concentrations have been measured in this system (Rillig *et al.*, 2001; Treseder & Vitousek, 2001b). Compared with the mainland, Hawaiian angiosperms have a high incidence of mycotrophy (approx. 90%; Gemma & Koske, 1990; Koske *et al.*, 1992), although pteridophytes have a lower incidence (75%; Gemma *et al.*, 1992). Of those species that form relationships with mycorrhizal fungi, a strong majority (approx. 98%) are AM (Gemma *et al.*, 1992; Koske *et al.*, 1992), so this study focused on AM fungi. We predicted that: (1) AM fungi would be nutrient-limited at the endpoints of the gradient, so that AM hyphal length would increase in response to N fertilization in the N-limited site and to P fertilization in the P-limited site, while fertilization with either nutrient would reduce or have no effect on hyphal length in the fertile site; and (2) the relative abundance of the AM genera *Glomus*, *Gigaspora*, *Acaulospora* and *Scutellospora* would vary across sites and treatments.

## Methods

### Sites

We tested the relationship between nutrient availability and mycorrhizal dynamics in N and P fertilized plots in three rain forests in Hawaii. These sites are at different stages of soil development (300, 20 000, and 4 100 000 yr old) and therefore vary in soil nutrient availability. Phosphorus availability (as resin-P) is low in the youngest ( $0.20 \pm 0.08 \mu\text{g per bag d}^{-1}$ ) and oldest sites ( $0.41 \pm 0.17 \mu\text{g per bag d}^{-1}$ ) and greatest at the 20 000-yr-old site ( $1.21 \pm 0.28 \mu\text{g per bag d}^{-1}$ ) (Crews *et al.*, 1995). Nitrogen availability (as resin-ammonium plus nitrate) is lowest at the youngest site ( $3.31 \pm 1.56 \mu\text{g per bag d}^{-1}$ ) and higher in the intermediate-aged ( $12.37 \pm 3.32 \mu\text{g per bag d}^{-1}$ ) and oldest site ( $14.41 \pm 7.20 \mu\text{g per bag d}^{-1}$ ) (Crews *et al.*, 1995). Moreover, nutrient availability exerts a strong influence on plant growth across the sites. Long-term fertilization experiments have indicated that above-ground productivity is limited primarily by N in the youngest site (Vitousek *et al.*, 1993), P in the oldest site (Herbert & Fownes, 1995) and by neither N nor P independently in the relatively fertile 20 000-yr-old site (Vitousek & Farrington, 1997). Hereafter, we will refer to the youngest, intermediate-aged, and oldest sites as the 'N-limited', 'fertile', and 'P-limited' sites, respectively.

**Table 1** Mycotrophic status and per cent canopy cover of major plant species

Species	Associated mycorrhizal fungi <sup>1</sup>	N-limited site <sup>2</sup>	Fertile site	P-limited site
<b>Trees</b>				
<i>Metrosideros polymorpha</i>	Arbuscular <sup>3</sup>	87.5	87.5	47.5
<i>Cheirodendron trigynum</i>	n.d. <sup>4</sup>	< 5	24.0	< 5
<i>Ilex anomala</i>	n.d.	< 5	9.0	< 5
<b>Shrubs and tree ferns</b>				
<i>Cibotium chamissoi</i>	Arbuscular	< 5	24.0	< 5
<i>Cibotium glaucum</i>	Arbuscular	62.5	45.5	< 5
<i>Coprosma ochracea</i>	Arbuscular	10.6	< 5	< 5
<b>Pteridophytes</b>				
<i>Dicranopteris linearis</i>	Arbuscular	6.0	< 5	< 5
<i>Dryopteris wallichiana</i>	Arbuscular	< 5	13.5	< 5
<i>Elaphoglossum alatum</i>	Arbuscular	< 5	< 5	43.0
<i>Nephrolepis exaltata</i>	Arbuscular	< 5	< 5	10.5
<b>Nonnatives</b>				
<i>Hedychium gardnerianum</i>	n.d.	57.5	< 5	< 5

<sup>1</sup>As reported by Koske *et al.* (1992), Gemma *et al.* (1992) and Gemma & Koske (1990) for Hawaiian plants. <sup>2</sup>Canopy cover data from Kitayama & Mueller-Dombois (1995). <sup>3</sup>Can associate with ectomycorrhizal fungi, although no ectomycorrhizal root tips were found in the study sites.

<sup>4</sup>n.d., not determined.

The sites are described in detail by Crews *et al.* (1995). All sites are near 1200 m elevation and have a mean annual temperature of approximately 16°C. All receive about 2500 mm of rainfall annually, mostly from north-east Trade Winds. As such, precipitation is relatively evenly distributed throughout the year. Each site is located on the constructional surface of a shield volcano. Therefore, volcanic tephra is the parent material, and slopes are less than 2°. Soil classifications for the N-limited, fertile, and P-limited sites are Hydric Dystrandept, Typic Hydrandept, and Plinthic Acrudox, respectively. We have found little evidence of human disturbance in any site. Each field site covers approximately 1 km<sup>2</sup>.

The vegetation at each site is comprised of native forest and is strongly dominated by the evergreen tree *Metrosideros polymorpha* (Crews *et al.*, 1995). This tree has been classified as an arbuscular mycorrhizal plant in Hawaii (Koske *et al.*, 1992). We have occasionally observed ectomycorrhizal colonization on *Metrosideros* roots, but never in these field sites. The abundance of major (> 5% cover) plant species in the sites are listed in Table 1, as reported by Kitayama & Mueller-Dombois (1995). Ostertag & Verville (2001) have measured shifts in plant community structure following N and P additions in the N- and P-limited sites. Of the major species, N fertilization in the N-limited site tends to decrease densities of *Hedychium gardnerianum* (non-native ginger). In contrast, the tree fern, *Cibotium glaucum*, tends to have higher densities in N fertilized plots in that site. Nonmajor species affected include *Rubis argutus*, a nonnative shrub, which has higher density following P fertilization in the P-limited site, and the native shrub *Vaccinium calycinum*, which has declined in density following N fertilization in the N-limited site. Ostertag & Verville (2001) did not characterize community structure in the fertile site. Mycotrophic status has been assessed by others (Gemma

*et al.*, 1992; Koske *et al.*, 1992, and Gemma & Koske, 1990) for most major plant species found in these sites (Table 1). In each case, the plant species is consistently colonized by AM fungi when grown in Hawaii. At no time have ectomycorrhizal fungi been discovered in root samples (*Metrosideros* or otherwise) from these study sites. We expect that any shifts in ecosystem-level mycorrhizal status caused by changes in plant communities across sites or fertilization treatments will be minimal.

Fertilized plots received 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> of either N (half as ammonium nitrate and half as urea) or P (as triple superphosphate) in two applications per year (Vitousek & Farrington, 1997). Plots were 15 × 15 m in the N- and P-limited sites, and each encompassed several trees. In contrast, plots in the fertile site were each centered on a single adult *Metrosideros* individual and were 10 m in diameter. This difference is because *Metrosideros* trees in the fertile site were much larger and less dense than those in the other sites. We sampled from four replicate plots per treatment per in the N-limited and fertile sites, and from three replicate plots per treatment in the P-limited site. At the time of sampling, fertilization had been ongoing for 15, 7, and 9 yr in the N-limited, fertile and P-limited sites, respectively.

### Sample collection

All measurements involved sampling roots and AM hyphae from control, N-fertilized, and P-fertilized plots in the three sites in March 2000. Seasonal variation in abundance of AM fungi in plant roots is minimal in these field sites (Treseder & Vitousek, 2001b). We used 5-cm diameter soil corers to collect soil (including roots) from the top 10-cm of the soil profile. Two cores were collected per plot at random locations.

Samples were placed on ice for transport to the field laboratory in Hawaii Volcanoes National Park on the Big Island of Hawaii, where they were frozen for transport to University of California, Riverside, CA, USA. Soil cores were stored there at  $-70^{\circ}\text{C}$  prior to starting laboratory analyses in December 2000.


### Hyphal extractions

As a proxy of AM biomass in soil, we extracted and quantified lengths of extraradical AM hyphae from two soil cores per plot (Sylvia, 1992). Hyphal lengths are often measured to calculate fungal biomass in soil (Paul & Clark, 1996), and under high magnification hyphae can be identified as AM or non-AM (Sylvia, 1992). Each soil core was passed through a 2-mm mesh sieve and the smaller particles were retained. Approximately 1.0 g sieved soil was dispersed in 12 ml deionized water, and then centrifuged for 10 min at 2000 r.p.m. The supernatant was discarded. Twelve milliliters of sucrose solution ( $500\text{ g l}^{-1}$  sucrose plus  $50\text{ g l}^{-1}$  sodium hexametaphosphate) was added to the pellet, mixed, and centrifuged for 5 min at 2000 r.p.m. The supernatant was collected and passed through a  $0.2\text{-}\mu\text{m}$  filter. The length of arbuscular mycorrhizal hyphae on the filter was quantified at  $\times 200$  using a phase-contrast microscope (Axioskop; Carl Zeiss Inc., Thornwood, NY, USA). We could distinguish AM hyphae from nonmycorrhizal hyphae by examining morphological structures, as arbuscular mycorrhizal hyphae are nonseptate, have irregular walls and display angular, unilateral branching (Bonfante-Fasolo, 1986). Results are reported as mm hyphae  $\text{g}^{-1}$  dry soil.

### Community composition

The community distribution of external AM hyphae on roots was determined by direct immunofluorescence to identify fungi to genus level (Fig. 2). Antibodies were raised against each of the four major genera of AM fungi. Rabbits were immunized with whole-spore fractions of *Glomus deserticola* Trappe, Bloss & Menge, *Acaulospora laevis* (Nicol. & Gerd.), *Gigaspora margarita* (Becker & Hall), and *Scutellospora calospora* (Nicol. & Gerd.) Walker and Sanders as described in Egerton-Warburton & Allen (2000). Each antiserum was conjugated to fluorescein isothiocyanate, and its specificity was determined by evaluating immunoreactivity between all combinations of antisera and spores of each species; no cross-reactions were detected (Allen *et al.*, 1999). The antibody technique in our study is specific at the genus level, and only live hyphae fluoresce under this stain (Friesse & Allen, 1991).

Live roots less than 2 mm diameter were selected (see 'Root colonization') and gently washed four times in deionized water. Roots were allocated to four subsamples. Subsamples were incubated in diluted antisera (1 : 1 antisera–water) of each genus in the dark for 24 h at  $20^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Roots were rinsed briefly in deionized water, mounted on glass slides, and examined at



**Fig. 2** Immunofluorescent reaction of an external hypha of *Acaulospora* (arrow) growing from a N fertilized tree root in the phosphorus-limited site. Dark nonreactive hyphae of other genera are also visible on the root.

$\times 200$  on a phase-contrast microscope equipped with epifluorescence (Axioskop; Carl Zeiss Inc.) (Egerton-Warburton & Allen, 2000). Per cent root length with immunoreactive hyphae was quantified using the magnified intersections method (McGonigle *et al.*, 1990). Results are reported as percentage root length with hyphae of individual genera.

### Root colonization

Percentage root length with AM colonization was determined using Trypan blue staining (Koske & Gemma, 1989). Roots were removed by sieving the soil core through a 2-mm mesh and examining the material retained for root pieces. About 10 mg live roots less than 2 mm diameter were selected and washed four times in deionized water. Color and texture were used to distinguish live roots from dead roots (dead roots are darker and more friable than live roots). Roots were not sorted by species and represent community-level biomass. Samples were cleared in 2.5% potassium hydroxide for 20 min at  $90^{\circ}\text{C}$ , then rinsed three times with deionized water. Next, roots were bleached in 0.525% sodium hypochlorite for 20 min, rinsed, and acidified in 1% hydrochloric acid overnight. The next day, samples were stained in acidic glycerol–trypan blue solution (50% glycerol (v : v), 1% hydrochloric acid (v : v), and 0.05% Trypan blue (w : v)) at  $90^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 20 min. Roots were destained in acidic glycerol (50% glycerol (v : v), 1% hydrochloric acid (v : v)) and mounted on slides. Colonization by arbuscules, vesicles and internal hyphae were determined using the magnified intersections method (McGonigle *et al.*, 1990). Results are reported as percentage of root length with AM

vesicles, AM hyphae, and total AM structures (vesicles + hyphae). No arbuscules were observed in any samples.

## Statistics

Statistical analyses were performed with SYSTAT 10 for Windows (SPSS, 2000). Data were log-transformed if necessary, and fully factorial analyses of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey *post hoc* tests were conducted with site and treatment as grouping variables. We tested for normal distribution of data by calculating standard deviates separately for each sample, pooling all deviates, then applying a Kolmogorov–Smirnov test for goodness of fit. An  $F_{\max}$ -test was used to confirm homogeneity of variances (Sokal & Rohlf, 1995). Normality and homogeneity of variance could not be achieved in measures of per cent colonization by individual genera or by mycorrhizal structures. In these cases, ANOVAs and Tukey tests were conducted on ranked data. For all tests, differences were considered significant when  $P < 0.05$  and marginally significant when  $P < 0.10$  (Klironomos *et al.*, 1999).

## Results

### AM hyphal lengths in soil

The biomass of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in the soil, measured as hyphal length  $\text{g}^{-1}$  soil and determined by gross morphology, varied significantly across sites (Fig. 3; ANOVA,  $F_{2,2} = 4.21$ ,  $P < 0.03$ ). Specifically, hyphal biomass was significantly lower in the P-limited site ( $2800 \text{ mm g}^{-1}$ ) than in the fertile ( $4900 \text{ mm g}^{-1}$ ; Tukey,  $P < 0.04$ ) or N-limited ( $4300 \text{ mm g}^{-1}$ ; Tukey,  $P < 0.05$ ) sites. Fertilization treatment alone did not significantly affect hyphal lengths. However, there was a marginally significant site–treatment interaction (ANOVA,  $F_{2,24} = 2.16$ ,  $P < 0.10$ ), with N fertilization increasing biomass in the N-limited site, and P fertilization increasing

biomass in the P-limited site. None of these responses was significant in a Tukey *post hoc* test.

### Root colonization by AM hyphae


The fraction of root length colonized by AM fungi did not respond significantly to site, fertilization or their interaction (Fig. 4c). However, the formation of types of AM structures was affected. Specifically, per cent root length with AM vesicles varied significantly across sites (Fig. 4b; ANOVA,  $F_{2,2} = 5.041$ ,  $P < 0.019$ ) and was higher in the N-limited site (3.3%) than the fertile site (0.8%; Tukey,  $P < 0.020$ ), while per cent root length with internal AM hyphae did not differ among sites (Fig. 4a). Fertilization had no significant effect on root colonization with hyphae or vesicles (Fig. 4a,b). No arbuscules were observed in any of our root samples.

### Community composition of AM fungi


Two genera shifted significantly in abundance (Fig. 5): *Scutellospora* responded significantly to fertilization treatment (ANOVA,  $F_{2,4} = 4.59$ ,  $P < 0.02$ ), while *Glomus* varied significantly across sites (ANOVA,  $F_{2,2} = 3.48$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ). For *Scutellospora*, the N fertilized treatment had lower colonization than did the P fertilized treatment (N fertilized, 1.72%; P-fertilized, 6.78%; Tukey,  $P < 0.02$ ), but neither differed significantly from the control (3.72%). *Glomus* abundance in the fertile site (6.33%) was significantly greater than that in the N-limited site (1.83%; Tukey,  $P < 0.04$ ) but not the P-limited site (3.78%). *Gigaspora* and *Acaulospora* had no significant response to either factor.

## Discussion

The initial nutrient status of ecosystems may determine responses of AM fungi to fertilization, and this factor may



**Fig. 3** Standing crop of external arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) hyphae in soil, as determined by hyphal extractions and identification by gross morphology. Bars, means of three or four plots  $\pm 1$  SE. Hyphal biomass was lower in the phosphorus (P)-limited site than in the fertile ( $P < 0.04$ ) or N-limited ( $P < 0.05$ ) sites, and there was a marginally significant site–fertilization interaction ( $P < 0.10$ ).



**Fig. 4** Percentage of root length with arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) hyphae (a), AM vesicles (b) and all AM structures combined (c), determined by staining with Trypan blue. No arbuscules were observed in any sample. Bars, means of three or four plots  $\pm 1$  SE. Vesicle abundance was higher in the N-limited site than in the fertile site ( $P < 0.02$ ).


partly account for inconsistencies found among other field experiments. In our study, N and P additions raised AM biomass in the N and P limited sites, respectively, while P fertilization reduced total hyphal lengths in the fertile site only. In addition, patterns across the nutrient gradient were consistent with responses to N and P fertilization: hyphal lengths were highest in soil of the fertile site. These trends follow our predictions and lend support to our model of AM growth in response to nutrient availability (Fig. 1), although the site–fertilization interaction is only marginally significant ( $P < 0.10$ ). Heterogeneity is often much higher for soil-related

traits than for plant-related traits, so low  $P$ -values can be difficult to obtain in experiments designed for ecosystem- or vegetation-level measurements (Klironomos *et al.*, 1999). The observed responses of AM hyphal length to fertilization in the N- and P-limited sites are in the opposite direction to those expected if plants were the sole control over mycorrhizal growth. If direct nutrient limitation were not a factor, we would expect mycorrhizal biomass to decrease in response to N and P fertilization in all sites because plants would allocate carbohydrates elsewhere. In addition, standing hyphal length does not track standing root stocks. In these forests, fine root lengths are significantly greater in the P-limited site than the others and do not change significantly with N or P fertilization (Ostertag, 2001).

When genera of AM fungi were examined individually, they displayed varied responses to soil fertility across sites and fertilization treatments. The relative abundance of *Glomus* on plant roots was significantly higher in the fertile site than in the N-limited site. This finding is consistent with studies demonstrating that *Glomus* species increase following N deposition or fertilization in California coastal sage scrub (Egerton-Warburton & Allen, 2000) and tallgrass prairie (Eom *et al.*, 1999). After N and P fertilization in a Minnesota field study, *G. intraradices* proliferated, although *G. occultum* declined. Notably, fertilization itself did not significantly affect *Glomus* abundance in our study. *Glomus* populations in Hawaii may respond differently to long-term (i.e. site) vs short-term (i.e. fertilization) shifts in soil fertility. Alternately, *Glomus* species may have been affected by some other factor that changes across sites, such as genetic variation in *M. polymorpha*, the dominant tree (Treseder & Vitousek, 2001a). By contrast, *Scutellospora* varied among fertilization treatments but not sites, being more abundant in P fertilized plots than in N fertilized plots. Nitrogen additions have also reduced abundance of *Scutellospora* spores in coastal sage scrub (Egerton-Warburton & Allen, 2000). *Glomus* and *Scutellospora* may occupy separate niches with respect to soil fertility, either because of direct influences of N or P availability, or controls via plant hosts.

While relatively unknown, life history characteristics of AM fungi have been suggested by Hart *et al.* (2001) to vary among species or genera. Physiological traits that may promote rapid colonization of soil during early ecosystem succession include prolific spore production, short spore dormancy, rapid spore germination, many infection points, large infection units, high per cent root colonization, and high nutrient requirement. By contrast, mycorrhizal fungi that dominate at later stages of succession may require lower nutrient availability and may engage in interference competition with other mycorrhizal species through induced host resistance or chemical allelopathy (Hart *et al.*, 2001). While these traits have yet to be comprehensively assessed for individual genera, spore morphology can vary dramatically among groups and may be related to one or more of the above-mentioned traits. Any potential

**Fig. 5** Percentage of root length colonized by live arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) hyphae of each genus (a, *Glomus*; b, *Acaulospora*; c, *Gigaspora*; d, *Scutellospora*) as determined by direct immunofluorescence. Bars, means of three or four plots plus  $\pm 1$  SE. *Glomus* abundance was significantly greater in the fertile site than the N-limited site ( $P < 0.04$ ). *Scutellospora* abundance was higher in P fertilized sites than in N fertilized sites ( $P < 0.02$ ).



differences in life history characteristics among genera may also influence shifts in the AM community across the soil fertility gradient.

Changes in the community composition of AM fungi following fertilization may have altered their function in these ecosystems. The AM species vary in their effects on plant growth (Mosse, 1972; Mosse, 1973; Smith & Read, 1997), and shifts in biodiversity of AM fungi can influence plant diversity, growth and nutrient status (van der Heijden *et al.*, 1998). Morphological and physiological differences among genera of AM fungi may also produce variation in their direct influences on soil dynamics (Boddington & Dodd, 1999; Dodd *et al.*, 2000). Unlike *Glomus* species, *Gigaspora* and *Scutellospora* species tend to have well-developed networks of external hyphae (Dodd *et al.*, 2000). In addition, hyphae of *Gigaspora* species can have higher concentrations of glomalin than those of *Glomus* species (Wright *et al.*, 1996). Soil macroaggregate formation is positively correlated with external hyphal lengths (Tisdall & Oades, 1982; Oades, 1984; Miller & Jastrow, 1990; Oades & Waters, 1991; Jastrow *et al.*, 1998; Miller & Jastrow, 2000) and glomalin (Wright *et al.*, 1999; Wright & Anderson, 2000), and glomalin itself may be a significant carbon sink in the soil (Treseder & Allen, 2000; Rillig *et al.*, 2001). Shifts in community composition away from *Scutellospora* with N fertilization and toward *Glomus* in the fertile site may be one influence on the physical structure and C turnover of soils along the Hawaiian fertility gradient.

Root colonization is a function of both standing root length and abundance of the AM symbionts. This variable did not change across sites or fertilization treatments, although P fertilization tended to decrease colonization levels in the N-limited and P-limited sites. These results are similar in pattern and magnitude to those reported by Treseder & Vitousek (2001b) for samples collected from the same plots across four dates in 1996 and 1997. In this study, even though the

quantity of mycorrhizal structures did not change, the quality did. Vesicles were most apparent in the N-limited site and least apparent in the fertile site, while internal hyphae were consistent across sites. Vesicles are thought to be a storage structure for lipids and other energy reserves (Smith & Read, 1997). Fungi in the N-limited site may receive a greater excess of carbohydrates from their plant symbionts than do fungi in the fertile site, although this response is not accompanied by an increase in external hyphal lengths or root colonization by internal hyphae. In the N-limited site, lack of N, rather than lack of C from plants, may inhibit growth. Alternately, changes in vesicle abundance may be caused by shifts in the AM community, as species of *Scutellospora* and *Gigaspora* do not form vesicles, whereas *Glomus* and *Acaulospora* do (Brundrett *et al.*, 1996).

## Conclusions

We present here a model detailing the interaction of two controls on mycorrhizal abundance in ecosystems: direct N- or P-limitation of AM fungi when soil nutrient availability is very low, and C-limitation of AM fungi when plants are not nutrient-limited. As predicted by the model, responses of AM fungi to fertilization appeared to be influenced to some extent by the initial nutrient status of ecosystems, although only marginally significantly. We also found that soil fertility varied in its effect on different genera of AM fungi. *Glomus* and *Scutellospora*, in particular, appeared to have distinct responses to nutrient availability, either directly or indirectly via plant controls. Our results suggest that mycorrhizal fungi should not be viewed simply as mechanisms for N and P uptake by plants. These fungi also require soil nutrients and should allocate them according to economic principles, just as plants should (Bloom *et al.*, 1985). As attested by Fitter *et al.* (2000), a more 'myc-centric' view of plant-mycorrhizal relationships



may improve our ability to predict consequences of shifts in environmental parameters such as N deposition and other aspects of global change.

## Acknowledgements

We are grateful to: P. M. Vitousek for use of the chronosequence and comments on an earlier draft; the Divisions of Forestry and Wildlife and State Parks of the State of Hawaii, Hawaii Volcanoes National Park, and the Joseph Souza Center at Kokee State Park for access to the field sites and logistical support; C. E. Doljanin, H. Farrington and D. Penn for field assistance; and K. Lamb, R. Lepe, T. Tennant and J. Lansing for laboratory help. This project was funded by a NSF Postdoctoral Fellowship in Biosciences Related to the Environment for KKT, and NSF grants (DEB 9996211 and DEB 9981548) to M. F. Allen.

## References

- Allen MF. 1991. *The ecology of mycorrhizae*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Allen MF, Egerton-Warburton LM, Allen EB, Karen O. 1999. Mycorrhizae in *Adenostoma fasciculatum* Hook. & Arn.: a combination of unusual ecto- and endo-forms. *Mycorrhiza* 8: 225–228.
- Arnebrant K, Soderstrom B. 1992. Effects of different fertilizer treatments on ectomycorrhizal colonization potential in two Scots pine forests in Sweden. *Forest Ecology and Management* 53: 77–89.
- Bever JD, Schultz PA, Pringle A, Morton JB. 2001. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi: more diverse than meets the eye, and the ecological tale of why. *Bioscience* 51: 923–931.
- Bloom AJ, Chapin FS III, Mooney HA. 1985. Resource limitation in plants – an economic analogy. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 16: 363–393.
- Boddington CL, Dodd JC. 1999. Evidence that differences in phosphate metabolism in mycorrhizas formed by species of *Glomus* and *Gigaspora* might be related to their life-cycle strategies. *New Phytologist* 142: 531–538.
- Bonfante-Fasolo P. 1986. Anatomy and morphology of VA mycorrhizae. In: Powell C, Bagyaraj D, eds. *VA mycorrhiza*. Boca Raton, FL, USA: CRC Press, 2–33.
- Brundrett M, Bougher N, Dell B, Grove T, Malajczuk N. 1996. *Working with mycorrhizas in forestry and agriculture*. ACIAR monograph 32. Canberra, Australia: Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research.
- Crews TE, Kitayama K, Fownes JH, Riley RH, Herbert DA, Mueller-Dombois D, Vitousek PM. 1995. Changes in soil phosphorus fractions and ecosystem dynamics across a long chronosequence in Hawaii. *Ecology* 76: 1407–1424.
- Dodd JC, Boddington CL, Rodriguez A, Gonzalez-Chavez C, Mansur I. 2000. Mycelium of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) from different genera: form, function and detection. *Plant and Soil* 226: 131–151.
- Egerton-Warburton LM, Allen EB. 2000. Shifts in arbuscular mycorrhizal communities along an anthropogenic nitrogen deposition gradient. *Ecological Applications* 10: 484–496.
- Eom A-H, Hartnett DC, Wilson GWT, Figge DAH. 1999. The effect of fire, mowing and fertilizer amendment on arbuscular mycorrhizas in tallgrass prairie. *American Midland Naturalist* 142: 55–70.
- Fitter AH, Heinemeyer A, Staddon PL. 2000. The impact of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and global climate change on arbuscular mycorrhizas: a mycogenic approach. *New Phytologist* 147: 179–187.
- Friese CF, Allen MF. 1991. Tracking the fates of exotic and local VA mycorrhizal fungi: methods and patterns. *Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment* 34: 87–96.
- Gemma JN, Koske RE. 1990. Mycorrhizae in recent volcanic substrates in Hawaii. *American Journal of Botany* 77: 1193–1200.
- Gemma JN, Koske RE, Flynn T. 1992. Mycorrhizae in Hawaiian pteridophytes: occurrence and evolutionary significance. *American Journal of Botany* 79: 843–852.
- Hart MM, Reader RJ, Klironomos JN. 2001. Life-history strategies of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in relation to their successional dynamics. *Mycologia* 93: 1186–1194.
- van der Heijden MGA, Klironomos JN, Ursic M, Moutoglis P, Streitwolf-Engel R, Boller T, Wiemken A, Sanders IR. 1998. Mycorrhizal fungal diversity determines plant biodiversity, ecosystem variability and productivity. *Nature* 396: 69–72.
- Herbert DA, Fownes JH. 1995. Phosphorus limitation of forest leaf area and net primary production on a highly weathered soil. *Biogeochemistry* 29: 223–235.
- Jastrow JD, Miller RM, Lussenhop J. 1998. Contributions of interacting biological mechanisms to soil aggregate stabilization in restored prairie. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 30: 905–916.
- Johnson NC. 1993. Can fertilization of soil select less mutualistic mycorrhizae? *Ecological Applications* 3: 749–757.
- Johnson NC, Graham JH, Smith FA. 1997. Functioning of mycorrhizal associations along the mutualism–parasitism continuum. *New Phytologist* 135: 575–586.
- Karen O, Nylund JE. 1997. Effects of ammonium sulfate on the community structure and biomass of ectomycorrhizal fungi in a Norway spruce stand in southwestern Sweden. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 75: 1628–1642.
- Kitayama K, Mueller-Dombois D. 1995. Vegetation changes along gradients of long-term soil development in the Hawaiian montane rainforest zone. *Vegetatio* 120: 1–20.
- Klironomos JN, Rillig MC, Allen MF, Zak DR, Kubiske M, Pregitzer KS. 1997. Soil fungal–arthropod responses to *Populus tremuloides* grown under enriched atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> under field conditions. *Global Change Biology* 3: 473–478.
- Klironomos JN, Rillig MC, Allen MF. 1999. Designing belowground field experiments with the help of semi-variance and power analyses. *Applied Soil Ecology* 12: 227–238.
- Koske RE, Gemma JN. 1989. A modified procedure for staining roots to detect VA mycorrhizas. *Mycological Research* 92: 486–505.
- Koske RE, Gemma JN, Flynn T. 1992. Mycorrhizae in Hawaiian angiosperms: a survey with implications for the origin of the native flora. *American Journal of Botany* 79: 853–862.
- Lussenhop J, Treonis A, Curtis PS, Teeri JA, Vogel CS. 1998. Response of soil biota to elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> in poplar model systems. *Oecologia* 113: 247–251.
- McGonigle TP, Miller MH, Evans DG, Fairchild GL, Swan JA. 1990. A new method which gives an objective measure of colonization of roots by vesicular–arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *New Phytologist* 115: 495–501.
- Miller RM, Jastrow JD. 1990. Hierarchy of root and mycorrhizal fungal interactions with soil aggregation. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 22: 579–584.
- Miller RM, Jastrow JD. 2000. Mycorrhizal fungi influence soil structure. In: Kapulnik Y, Douds DD, eds. *Arbuscular mycorrhizas: physiology and function*. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Press, 3–18.
- Mosse B. 1972. Effects of different *Endogone* strains on the growth of *Paspalum notatum*. *Nature* 239: 221.
- Mosse B. 1973. Advances in the study of vesicular–arbuscular mycorrhiza. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 11: 171–196.
- Oades JM. 1984. Soil organic-matter and structural stability: mechanisms and implications for management. *Plant and Soil* 76: 319–337.
- Oades JM, Waters AG. 1991. Aggregate hierarchy in soils. *Australian Journal of Soil Research* 29: 815–828.

- Ostertag R. 2001. Effects of nitrogen and phosphorus availability on fine-root dynamics in Hawaiian montane forests. *Ecology* **82**: 485–499.
- Ostertag R, Verville JH. 2002. Fertilization with nitrogen and phosphorus increases abundance of non-native species in Hawaiian montane forests. *Plant Ecology*. (In press.)
- Paul EA, Clark FE. 1996. *Soil Microbiology and Biochemistry*, 2nd edn. San Diego, CA, USA: Academic Press.
- Read DJ. 1991. Mycorrhizas in ecosystems – Nature's response to the 'Law of the minimum'. In: Hawksworth DL, ed. *Frontiers in mycology*. Wallingford, UK: CAB International, 101–130.
- Rillig MC, Wright SF, Nichols KA, Schmidt WF, Torn MS. 2001. Large contribution of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi to soil carbon pools in tropical forest soils. *Plant and Soil* **233**: 167–177.
- Smith SE, Read DJ. 1997. *Mycorrhizal Symbiosis*, 2nd edn. San Diego, CA, USA: Academic Press.
- Sokal RR, Rohlf FJ. 1995. *Biometry*, 3rd edn. New York, NY, USA: W. H. Freeman.
- SPSS. 2000. *Systat 10*. Chicago, IL, USA: SPSS.
- Sylvia DM. 1992. Quantification of external hyphae of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. In: Norris JR, Read D, Varma AK, eds. *Techniques for mycorrhizal research*. London, UK: Academic Press, 513–525.
- Taylor AFS, Alexander IJ. 1989. Demography and populations dynamics of ectomycorrhizas of Sitka spruce fertilized with N. *Ecosystems and Environment* **28**: 493–496.
- Tingey DT, Johnson MG, Phillips DL, Storm MJ. 1995. Effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and nitrogen on ponderosa pine fine roots and associated fungal components. *Journal of Biogeography* **22**: 281–287.
- Tisdall JM, Oades JM. 1982. Organic-matter and water-stable aggregates in soils. *Journal of Soil Science* **33**: 141–163.
- Treseder KK, Allen MF. 2000. Mycorrhizal fungi have a potential role in soil carbon storage under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and nitrogen deposition. *New Phytologist* **147**: 189–200.
- Treseder KK, Vitousek PM. 2001a. Potential ecosystem-level effects of genetic variation among populations of *Metrosideros polymorpha* from a soil fertility gradient in Hawaii. *Oecologia* **126**: 266–275.
- Treseder KK, Vitousek PM. 2001b. Effects of soil nutrient availability on investment in acquisition of N and P in Hawaiian rain forests. *Ecology* **82**: 946–954.
- Vitousek PM, Farrington H. 1997. Nutrient limitation and soil development: experimental test of a biogeochemical theory. *Biogeochemistry* **37**: 63–75.
- Vitousek PM, Walker LR, Whiteaker LD, Matson PA. 1993. Nutrient limitation to plant growth during primary succession in Hawaii Volcanoes National Park. *Ecology* **73**: 197–215.
- Wright SF, Anderson RL. 2000. Aggregate stability and glomalin in alternative crop rotations for the central Great Plains. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* **31**: 249–253.
- Wright SF, Franke-Snyder M, Morton JB, Upadhyaya A. 1996. Time-course study and partial characterization of a protein on hyphae of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi during active colonization of roots. *Plant and Soil* **181**: 193–203.
- Wright SF, Starr JL, Paltineanu IC. 1999. Changes in aggregate stability and concentration of glomalin during tillage management transition. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* **63**: 1825–1829.



### About New Phytologist

- New Phytologist is owned by a non-profit-making **charitable trust** dedicated to the promotion of plant science. Regular papers, Letters, Research reviews, Rapid reports and Methods papers are encouraged. Complete information is available at [www.newphytologist.com](http://www.newphytologist.com)
- All the following are **free** – essential colour costs, 100 offprints for each article, online summaries and ToC alerts (go to the website and click on Synergy)
- You can take out a **personal subscription** to the journal for a fraction of the institutional price. Rates start at £83 in Europe/\$133 in the USA & Canada for the online edition (go to the website and click on Subscriptions)
- If you have any questions, do get in touch with Central Office ([newphytol@lancaster.ac.uk](mailto:newphytol@lancaster.ac.uk); tel +44 1524 594691) or, for a local contact in North America, the USA Office ([newphytol@ornl.gov](mailto:newphytol@ornl.gov); tel 865 576 5251)